



Spain 2026
SAILING
GRAND SLAM
27TH MARCH TO 4TH APRIL
MALLORCA / SPAIN 2026

SGS

Protest nr: 117

Class	
Protestor	ESP 1 - Nacho Baltasar Summers
Protestee	Protest Committee
Witnesses	ESP - Marcos Fernández iglesias
Type	request for redress
Incident	We are requesting redress for the decision of case 98. The decision of this case made my score worse by no fault of my own. The protest committee made an improper action in the decision of the case 98.
Date/Time of hearing	5 Apr 2025 at 08:00
Location	Hearing Room - Portixol
Procedural matters	- Hearings No. 120, 118, 119 were heard together with hearing No. 117 in accordance with RRS 63.(2)(b) since both hearings arose from the same incident. - The decision of Hearing No. 98 was given on the 4th of April at 22:00. - A notice to competitors was posted on the 4th of April at 22:25 with the jury decision stating that heat 2 of race 18 will be reinstated. - POL 1 was represented by Pawel Tarnowski. - ESP 1 was represented by Diego Yubero (support person of ESP 1). - TUR 2008 was represented by Andres Perez (support person of TUR 2008) - BRA 7 was represented by Isaac Matheus. - Marco Fernandez (coach of ESP 1), Przemyslaw Miarczyński (coach of POL 1), and Ricardo Paranhos (coach of BRA 7) attended the hearing as observers. - Miguel Amaral (RO on the iQFOiL race area) presented evidence during the hearing as a witness.

Facts found	1. POL 1 submitted a request for redress on the 4th of April (Hearing No. 92). The hearing was scheduled for 19:45 on the same day. POL 1 did not attend the hearing, the hearing proceeded under RRS 63.1(b). 2. At 14:00 on the 4th of April, the race committee posted a notice on the ONB stating that "Heat 2 race 18F is abandoned" (Notice 19). 3. Hearings Requests No. 98, 100, 101, and 103 were all presented between 14:20 and 15:15 on the 4th of April. All above hearings were submitted before the boards raced the resailed heat 2 of race 18. 4. The race committee displayed Flag N over numeral pennant 3 on the race committee vessel at 11:47. A mark layer displayed Flag N without any numeral pennant at 11:48. At that time approximately 4-5 boards of heat 2 have already finished. 5. The race committee stated that its intention on the water was not to abandon heat 2. 6. The facts established in Hearing No. 98 are stating clearly not only how the scores of the boards requesting redress were worsened, but also how all other boards' scores were affected. 7. The panel of the international jury based its conclusions in Hearing No. 98 on the facts established during the hearing 8. The first board sailed the course and finished heat 2 of race 18 at 11:46. 9. At 11:48, a rib of the race committee displaying N Flag motored in front of TUR 2008. At that time, TUR 2008 was foiling in the bottom of the fleet in close proximity of Mark 1. TUR 2008 sailed back to the starting line where she noticed Flag N over numeral pennant 3. TUR 2008 was scored DNC in that race. 10. The finishing window for heat 2 of race 18 was at 11:51. 11. BRA 7 was scored 21 points in heat 2 of race 18.
Conclusion	1. By being a party to Hearing No. 98, POL 1 is not entitled to redress in accordance with RRS 61.4(b)(1). 2. Since Hearings No. 98, 100, 101, and 103 were all presented within one hour after the notice 19 was posted on the ONB, the protest committee did not make an improper action in declaring the requests valid. 3. By deciding the abandonment of heat 2 of race 18 after one board had sailed the course and finished, through a notice on the ONB, without considering the consequences for all boards, was an improper action and omission. Therefore, the protest committee did not make an improper action or omission in Hearing No. 98. 4. The redress given in the decision of Hearing No. 98 was the fairest possible arrangement for all boats affected in accordance with RRS 61.4(c). Therefore, there was neither an improper action nor improper omission of the international jury. 5. The requirements for redress in RRS 61.4(b)(1) are not met. 6. TUR 2008's score in heat 2 of race 18 was not made significantly worse. Therefore, the requirements for redress in RRS 61.4(b)(1) are not met. 7. BRA 7's score in heat 2 of race 18 was not made significantly worse. Therefore, the requirements for redress in RRS 61.4(b)(1) are not met.
Rules applicables	RRS 61.4.(b)(1), 61.4(c), 63.1(b), 63.2(b)



Spain 2026
SAILING
GRAND SLAM



27TH MARCH TO 4TH APRIL
MALLORCA / SPAIN 2026

Jury Decision:



Spain 2026
SAILING
GRAND SLAM
SGS
27TH MARCH TO 4TH APRIL
MALLORCA / SPAIN 2026

	Redress is not given to POL 1, ESP 1, TUR 2008, and BRA 7
Date of decision:	5 Apr 2025 at 10:27
Jury members	Manuel Ken Gamito IJ (POR)(c), Stavros Kouris IJ (GRE)(s), Hella Bouma IJ (NED), Fran Vidal IJ (ESP), Timo Haas IJ (GER)

Signature:

--

22 Jul 2026 21:57:02