



Spain 2026
SAILING
GRAND SLAM

SGS

27TH MARCH TO 4TH APRIL
MALLORCA / SPAIN 2026

Protest nr: 29

Class	FORMULA KITE Men
Protestor	ITA RP80 - Riccardo Pianosi
Protestee	ITA RP80 - Riccardo Pianosi
Witnesses	
Type	protest & redress
Incident	At the time of the incident, both boats were on port tack. I, ITA (Riccardo Pianosi), was sailing leeward) of POL 43. POL 43 suddenly and unexpectedly initiated a tack, which forced me to immediately tack as well to avoid a collision due to his maneuver. After we completed the tack, both of us were now on starboard tack, with POL 43 positioned to windward of me. POL 43 then lowered his kite directly over mine, resulting in a contact with my bridles. The contact broke my bridles to the point where I could no longer continue the race, as my kite became non-navigable. Result: I was unable to finish the race due to the damage caused by POL 43. I ask for redress
Date/Time of hearing	1 Apr 2025
Location	Jury Container
Procedural matters	1. ITA RP80 was represented by Riccardo Pianosi. 2. POL JB13 was represented by Jakub Balewicz. 3. Giorgia Speciale (ITA GS131) and Simone Vanucci (coach of ITA RP80) attended the hearing as observers. 4. The panel of the International Jury was composed in accordance with RRS N1.4(b).
Facts found	1. The incident between ITA RP80 and POL JB13 was in the pre-start of race 5Q of the men's yellow fleet, for which the starting signal was made a 12:03 on 1 April. 2. The protest time limit for the men's yellow fleet on 1 April was at 18:30. 3. After coming ashore, ITA RP80 asked someone to take photos of his damaged kite in the air while flying it at the beach and then transferred the photos to his phone. 4. At 18:30, ITA RP80 submitted hearing request No. 27 which referred to the same incident. 5. Thereafter, ITA RP80 tried to upload the photos of the kite to hearing request No. 29 before submitting it at 18:38.



Spain 2026
SAILING
GRAND SLAM
27TH MARCH TO 4TH APRIL
MALLORCA / SPAIN 2026



Conclusion	1. ITA RP80 failed to deliver the protest within the protest time limit, as required by RRS 60.3(b)(1) and SI 26.2. There was no good reason for the International Jury to extend the time limit. Therefore, the protest is invalid under RRS 60.4(a)(1). 2. ITA RP80 failed to deliver the request for redress within the protest time limit or two hours after the incident, as required by RRS 61.2(b)(1) and SI 26.2. There was no good reason for the International Jury to extend the time. Therefore, the request for redress is invalid under RRS 61.3.
Rules applicables	RRS 60.3(b)(1), 60.4(a)(1), 61.2(b)(1), 61.3, 63.4(a)(1) and N1.4(b); SI 26.2
Jury Decision:	Since the protest and request for redress are invalid, the hearing is closed under RRS 63.4(a)(1).
Date of decision:	1 Apr 2025 at 20:26
Jury members	Pablo Macias, IJ (ESP), Finn Mrugalla, IJ (GER), Vaclav Brabec, IJ (CZE)
Signature:	

22 Jul 2026 21:55:41