



Spain 2026
SAILING
GRAND SLAM

SGS

27TH MARCH TO 4TH APRIL
MALLORCA / SPAIN 2026

Protest nr: 16

Class	IQ FOIL Women
Protestor	ITA 57 - Medea Falcioni
Protestee	FRA 171 - Justine Lemeteyer
Witnesses	
Type	protest & redress
Incident	At the start of race number 2, I was sailing on starboard, while FRA 171 was sailing on port. Approximately 20 seconds after the start of the race, after repeatedly shouting "starboard ", FRA 171 hit my sail with her body. My sail and I immediately fell in the water. I yelled "protest" many times, but she still didn't procede to do any penalty.
Date/Time of hearing	31 Mar 2025 at 19:20
Location	Hearing Room - Portixol
Procedural matters	1. The panel of the international jury was composed in accordance with RRS N1.4(b). 2. ITA 57 was represented by Medea Falcioni. 3. FRA 171 did not come to the hearing, the hearing proceeded in accordance with RRS 63.1(b). 4. Antonio Cangemi (coach of ITA 57) attended the hearing as an observer.
Facts found	1. Race 2 of the iQFOiL women was a course race. 2. The conditions at the time of the incident were 12 knots of wind and choppy waves. 3. ITA 57 and FRA 171 were foiling towards the pin-end of the starting line. 4. FRA 171 was on port tack, on a collision course with ITA 57, who was on starboard tack. 5. When the boards were 3 metres apart, FRA 171 bore away. 6. Immediately after, a contact occurred between the sailor of FRA 171 and the ITA 57's sail. 7. ITA 57 capsized. ITA 57 continued sailing in the race after 1 minute. 8. ITA 57 did not finish the race within the time limit. 9. FRA 171 retired from the race.



Spain 2026
SAILING
GRAND SLAM
27TH MARCH TO 4TH APRIL
MALLORCA / SPAIN 2026



Conclusion	1. FRA 171 on port tack failed to keep clear of ITA 57 on starboard tack, and broke RRS 10. 2. FRA 171 did not avoid contact with ITA 57 even though it was reasonably possible, and broke RRS 14(a). 3. It was not reasonably possible for ITA 57 the right-of-way board to avoid contact with FRA 171 when it became clear that FRA 171 was not keeping clear. ITA 57 did not break RRS 14(a). 4. By retiring, FRA 171 took an applicable penalty. 5. ITA 57's score in race 2 was made significantly worse through no fault of their own by capsizing because of the action of FRA 171 that broke RRS 10 and took the appropriate penalty. Therefore, the requirements for redress in RRS 61.4(b)(2) as changed by RRS B5.61.4 are met.
Rules applicables	RRS 10, 14(a), 60.5(c)(2), 63.1(b), B5.61.4(b), N1.4(b)
Jury Decision:	1. The protest is upheld. Since FRA 171 took an applicable penalty, she is not further penalized under RRS 60.5(c)(2). 2. Redress is given to ITA 57. Course racing / Marathon racing in the Opening series will count as a "series" for the purpose of WS Case 116. ITA 57 is to be scored in race 2 average points in accordance with rule A9(a), based on Course and Marathon races in the Opening Series, except race 2. Results from Sprint races should not be included in this calculation. No other boards scores shall change.
Date of decision:	31 Mar 2025 at 19:38
Jury members	Hrvoje Ban NJ (CRO)(c), Pablo Macias IJ (ESP) (s), Stavros Kouris IJ (GRE)

Signature:

22 Jul 2026 21:52:45